

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT DE COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. CONFIRMA ION NO. 09/29/2003 OM106DIV 10/675,326 Steven M. Falk 5274 EXAMINER 04/13/2004 26009 7590 ROGER M. RATHBUN ROBINSON, DANIEL LEON 13 MARGARITA COURT ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER HILTON HEAD ISLAND, SC 29926 3742

DATE MAILED: 04/13/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)	- ///	
		10/675,32	26	FALK, STEPHEN	y '	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	,	Art Unit		
		Daniel I. F	Robinson	3742		
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports	pears on the	cover sheet with the o	correspondence addre	!SS	
THE - Extended - If th - If No - Fail Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl o period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no even Iy within the state will apply and wi e, cause the appl	ent, however, may a reply be tin utory minimum of thirty (30) day Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this comm D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.	
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>29 September 2003</u> .					
2a) <u></u> ☐	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	tion of Claims					
5)						
Applicat	tion Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)	I0) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•	. ,		
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		=			
Priority :	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachmen	nt(s)					
2) Notic 3) Infor	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte	2)	

Application/Control Number: 10/675,326

Art Unit: 3742

Response to Amendment

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4, 6, and 13-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goldberg et al.(U.S.Pat.6,296,606) in view of Patel(U.S.Pat.4,331,161). Goldberg discloses a patient thermal support device that shows many of the features of the claimed invention save the explicitly claimed features of a temperature sensor affixed to a patient. Goldberg shows two inputs to a microprocessor controller from two temperature sensors 202 and 206, a temperature display, a radiant heater 56 and a heater 76. The microprocessor compares the sensor outputs to a setpoint temperature or a default setpoint temperature and continuously calculates a setpoint error. The microprocessor operates to drive the error(derivative with respect to time) to zero and to activate an alarm if needed if a range of values is exceeded. A holdoff period is initiated upon startup. The alarm can be associated with either an under or over temperature condition(Figs. 17-23). Patel discloses a patient sensor continuity detector that explicitly shows a temperature sensor affixed to a patient Figs. 1-5. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the claimed invention to use a thermistor affixed to a patient as taught by Patel because the thermister is well known in the art as a temperature sensor and can be affixed to a patient with normal adhesive tape to ascertain the patient's skin temperature.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goldberg in view of Patel as applied to claims 1-4 and 13-16 above, and further in view of

Koch(U.S.Pat.6,048,304). Goldberg in view of Patel does not show an analog to digital converter at the temperature sensor output. Koch discloses a process of control that shows an A/D converter at an output. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the claimed invention to use an A/D convert6er at the sensor output because the values are easily stored in a digital memory.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Goldberg'634, Moll, Kobayashi, and Goldberg'149 are cited to show structure similar to the claimed invnetion.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel I. Robinson whose telephone number is 703 306-9043. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 5:30am-2:30pm.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

DANIEL POBINSON PATENT EXAMINER Application/Control Number: 10/675,326

Art Unit: 3742

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DANIEL ROBINSON PATENT EXAMINER

Al Al

dlr